EXHIBIT J-2 LG's Proposed Verdict Form (-912 Case)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

CORE WIRELESS LICENSING	
S.A.R.L.,)
Plaintiff,))
,	Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-911 (lead case)
v.) Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-912 (consolidated)
)
LG ELECTRONICS, INC., and LG) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM)
U.S.A., INC.)
Defendants.)
)

LG ELECTRONICS, INC. AND LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.'S $\underline{PROPOSED\ VERDICT\ FORM}$

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's Final Instructions to the Jury. Your answers to each question must be unanimous.

As used herein, "Core Wireless" means Core Wireless Licensing S.a.r.l. and "LG" means "LG Electronics, Inc." and "LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A., Inc." As used herein, "321 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 6,266,321; "151 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 6,477,151; "536 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 6,633,536; "143 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 6,978,143; "850 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 7,804,850; "049 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 8,165,049; "398 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 8,792,398; "634 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 5,946,634; "022 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 7,383,022; "271 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 7,529,271; "664 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 7,599,664; "818 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 7,782,818; and "828 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. RE44,828.

QUESTION 1:

Did Core Wireless prove by a preponderance of the evidence that LG infringes the following claims of the following patents?

Answer	"Yes" or "N	0"	for	each Claim.
'321 Pate	ent			
C	laim 8			_
C	laim 10			_
C	laim 14			_
'151 Pat	ent			
C	laim 14			_
'536 Pate	ent			
C	laim 1			_
C	laim 17			_
C	laim 19			_
'143 Pat	ent			
C	laim 17			_
C	laim 18			_
'850 Pate	ent			
C	laim 21			_
'049 Pat	ent			
C	laim 11			_
C	laim 14			_

Claim 15

'398 Patent	
Claim 10	
'634 Patent	
Claim 1	
Claim 4	
Claim 23	
'022 Patent	
Claim 7	
'664 Patent	
Claim 14	
Claim 16	
Claim 17	
'271 Patent	
Claim 20	
'818 Patent	
Claim 31	
Claim 34	
Claim 43	
'828 Patent	
Claim 20	

ANSWER QUESTIONS 2 THROUGH 14 ONLY AS TO THOSE CLAIMS YOU ANSWERED "YES" FOR QUESTION 1 ABOVE – OTHERWISE STOP AND DO NOT ANSWER ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS.

QUESTION 2:

Did LG prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of the following patents are invalid?

If you find the claims invalid, answer "Yes," otherwise, answer "No."

'321 Patent		
Claim	8	
Claim	10	
Claim	14	
'151 Patent		
Claim	14	
'536 Patent		
Claim	1	
Claim	17	
Claim	19	
'143 Patent		
Claim	17	
Claim	18	
'850 Patent		
Claim	21	

'049 Patent	
Claim 11	
Claim 14	
Claim 15	
'398 Patent	
Claim 10	
'634 Patent	
Claim 1	
Claim 4	
Claim 23	
'022 Patent	
Claim 7	
'664 Patent	
Claim 14	
Claim 16	
Claim 17	
'271 Patent	
Claim 20	
'818 Patent	
Claim 31	
Claim 34	
Claim 43	

'828 Patent		
Claim 20		

ANSWER QUESTION 3 ONLY IF YOU HAVE FOUND ONE OR MORE OF THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '321 PATENT TO BE INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID.

QUESTION 3:

	What	sum	of	mone	y, if	paid	now	in	case,	do	you	find	from	a	prepon	der	anc	e of	f the
eviden	ce wo	uld fa	iirly	and	reaso	onably	com	pei	ısate	Core	e Wi	reless	for	inf	ringeme	ent	of	the	'321
patent	•																		

\$_____

ANSWER QUESTION 4 ONLY IF YOU HAVE FOUND THE ASSERTED CLAIM OF THE '151 PATENT TO BE INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID.

QUESTION 4:

What sum of money, if paid now in case, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Core Wireless for infringement of the '151 patent?

\$_____

ANSWER QUESTION 5 ONLY IF YOU HAVE FOUND ONE OR MORE OF THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '536 PATENT TO BE INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID.

QUESTION 5:

What sum of money, if paid now in case, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Core Wireless for infringement of the '536 patent?

\$

ANSWER QUESTION 6 ONLY IF YOU HAVE FOUND ONE OR MORE OF THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '143 PATENT TO BE INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID.

QUESTION	6:
OCLUITOIT	\mathbf{v}

	What	t sum	of	mone	y, if	paid	now	in	case,	do	you	find	from	a	preponde	ranc	e of	the
evidenc	e wo	uld fa	airly	and	reaso	nably	com	pei	ısate	Core	Wi Wi	reless	s for	inf	ringement	of	the	'143
patent?																		

ANSWER QUESTION 7 ONLY IF YOU HAVE FOUND THE ASSERTED CLAIM OF THE '850 PATENT TO BE INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID.

QUESTION 7:

What sum of money, if paid now in case, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Core Wireless for infringement of the '850 patent?

\$

ANSWER QUESTION 8 ONLY IF YOU HAVE FOUND ONE OR MORE OF THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '049 PATENT OR THE '398 PATENT TO BE INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID.

QUESTION 8:

What sum of money, if paid now in case, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Core Wireless for infringement of the '049/'398 patents?

\$_____

ANSWER QUESTION 9 ONLY IF YOU HAVE FOUND ONE OR MORE OF THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '634 PATENT TO BE INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID.

QUESTION 9:

What sum of money, if paid now in case, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Core Wireless for infringement of the '634 patent?
\$
ANSWER QUESTION 10 ONLY IF YOU HAVE FOUND ONE OR MORE OF THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '022 PATENT OR THE '664 PATENT TO BE INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID.
QUESTION 10:
What sum of money, if paid now in case, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Core Wireless for infringement of the '022/'664 patents?
\$
ANSWER QUESTION 11 ONLY IF YOU HAVE FOUND THE ASSERTED CLAIM OF THE '271 PATENT TO BE INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID.
QUESTION 11:
What sum of money, if paid now in case, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Core Wireless for infringement of the '271 patent?
\$

QUESTION 12:

ANSWER QUESTION 12 ONLY IF YOU HAVE FOUND ONE OR MORE OF THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '818 PATENT TO BE INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID.

ANSWER QUESTIONS 15 AND 16 ONLY IF YOU FOUND AT LEAST ONE CLAIM INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID.

QUESTION 15:

Did LG prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the sales of chipsets by Qualcomm were authorized under a license?

	Check one of the following:
	Yes
	-OR-
	No
	QUESTION 16:
exhaus	Did LG prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Core Wireless is barred by patention from enforcing the asserted patents?
	Check one of the following:
	Yes
	-OR-
	No